William R. Samek, BSEE, MS, Ph.D.

F.S.A.T.P., Inc.
7241 S.W. 63 Avenue, Suite 203-C
Miami, Florida 33143

Clinical Psychology
Forensic Psychology
Professional Consultations

Tel: **(305) 552-5000**
Cell: (305) 220-2200
E-mail: Samek@msn.com

Florida Psychological Association President 2002 and 2011

An Analysis of Technology Platforms for Telepsychology

This analysis was created mostly by *Google Gemini AI* (September 2025). The opinions expressed herein are solely those of *Google Gemini AI*. I have no financial interest in any of the products discussed herein. Four years ago I tried using *Doxy.me* and found it problematic for use in group therapy. I have since then been using a paid version of *Zoom.* My biggest problem with *Zoom* is that when they update it, thigs can change and the learning curve can be challenging.

My personal practice is primarily telepsychology based with all note taking and record keeping still being handwritten and paper based. Billing is still done manually and insurance assignment is not accepted. I accept all forms of payment. Most people pay by Zelle or credit card or mailed in check. I am not saying that my system is the best, but it still works for me.

Executive Summary of the Analysis

The proliferation of digital technology has made telepsychology a staple of modern mental healthcare. However, the selection of appropriate technology tools and platforms is a complex decision that extends far beyond simple video conferencing capabilities. This report provides a comprehensive evaluation of the telepsychology technology landscape, delineating the criteria that separate the most suitable platforms from those that pose significant legal, ethical, and clinical risks.

The central finding of this analysis is that the "best" platforms are no longer point solutions but integrated ecosystems that combine secure telehealth functionality with robust practice management features. The market has matured to a point where all-in-one Electronic Health Record (EHR) and practice management systems (PMs) are the industry standard for professional practice. The "worst" tools, conversely, are those that fail to meet the foundational legal and ethical requirements of the healthcare industry, regardless of their convenience or ubiquity.

The analysis identifies four critical pillars for platform evaluation: Security and Compliance, Functionality and Integration, Usability and Reliability, and Cost-Effectiveness. The most vital of these is security and compliance, a non-negotiable prerequisite governed by federal laws such as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act. Without a signed Business Associate Agreement (BAA), a platform is unequivocally unsuitable for telepsychology.

Based on these criteria, top-tier platforms like SimplePractice and TherapyNotes represent the gold standard for all-in-one solutions, each with distinct strengths tailored to different practice models. In contrast, consumer-grade tools like Consumer Skype and unregulated, generic AI chatbots are deemed professional liabilities due to their lack of a BAA, insufficient security, and potential for causing patient harm.

The three most important recommendations for selecting a platform are:

1. **Prioritize Compliance:** Select a platform that is HIPAA-compliant and provides a signed BAA.
2. **Define Your Needs:** Determine whether a full EHR/PM system is necessary or if a standalone, compliant telehealth tool is sufficient.
3. **Evaluate for Reliability:** Look beyond features and assess a platform's real-world performance, paying close attention to user reports on video quality and technical stability.

Chapter 1: The Foundations of Telepsychology Technology

The Four Pillars of Trust: Core Criteria for Platform Selection

The selection of a technology platform for telepsychology is a strategic decision that demands a structured evaluation. A framework based on four core pillars provides a comprehensive method for assessing potential tools: Security and Compliance, Functionality and Integration, Usability and Reliability, and Cost-Effectiveness.

- **Security and Compliance:** This is the most critical pillar. As a healthcare provider, the practitioner is a "Covered Entity" under federal law and is legally obligated to protect client data. A suitable platform must ensure the security and privacy of Protected Health Information (PHI) through technical safeguards and a legally binding agreement.
- **Functionality and Integration:** The ideal platform must offer a suite of features that streamlines administrative and clinical workflows. These features can range from basic video conferencing to comprehensive practice management tools, including electronic health records (EHRs), scheduling, billing, and secure client communication.
- **Usability and Reliability:** The platform's design must be intuitive for both the practitioner and the client to ensure seamless sessions and a positive experience. Video and audio quality must be stable and consistent to preserve the therapeutic relationship, while robust customer support is essential for resolving technical issues.
- **Cost-Effectiveness:** The platform's pricing model must be transparent and offer a clear return on investment. This pillar considers not only the monthly cost but also any additional fees for advanced features, as well as the value of time saved on administrative tasks.

Navigating the Regulatory Landscape: The Mandates of HIPAA and the HITECH Act

Legal compliance is the absolute prerequisite for any technology used in telepsychology. This is governed primarily by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and the

Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act. A failure to adhere to these mandates can result in severe legal consequences, financial penalties, and a damaged professional reputation.

The cornerstone of compliance is the **Business Associate Agreement (BAA)**. A BAA is a legally binding contract between a Covered Entity (the practitioner) and a Business Associate (the platform vendor) in which the vendor agrees to safeguard any Protected Health Information (PHI) it handles on behalf of the practitioner. This agreement is essential because it holds the vendor accountable for data protection. Without a signed BAA, the practitioner remains fully responsible for any data breach that occurs through the third-party service, regardless of fault. The absence of a BAA is the single most critical filter for evaluating a tool's suitability for telepsychology.

Beyond the BAA, platforms must implement robust technical and administrative safeguards. Technical requirements include end-to-end encryption, which ensures data is unreadable during transmission and storage. This encryption should meet or exceed the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES-256). Platforms must also feature secure login and authentication, preferably with multi-factor authentication (MFA), and maintain audit logs that track all access to PHI.

The HITECH Act of 2009 significantly strengthened the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules by extending them directly to Business Associates and introducing a tiered penalty system for violations. This legislation created a powerful incentive for healthcare providers to adopt electronic health records (EHRs) through financial incentives for "meaningful use" and penalties for non-compliance. This legislative push fundamentally reshaped the market. The existence of these comprehensive, all-in-one EHR/PM platforms today is a direct result of the HITECH Act's financial and legal mandates. The law created a clear demand for integrated systems that could handle a range of functions—from scheduling and notes to billing and claims submission—within a single, compliant platform.

Under HITECH, civil penalties for HIPAA violations are tiered based on culpability. Fines can range from a minimum of $141 for a violation that occurred with no knowledge, to a maximum of $2,134,831 per year for a violation due to willful neglect that was not corrected. In cases of willful or seriously negligent conduct, practitioners could also face punitive damages and even criminal charges, including imprisonment for up to ten years.

Beyond the Law: The Unwritten Ethical Code of Digital Practice

While compliance with HIPAA and HITECH is a legal requirement, ethical practice in telepsychology extends beyond these mandates. The core principles of professional conduct, such as confidentiality and informed consent, are challenged and complicated in a digital environment.

One of the most significant challenges is the issue of **confidentiality** in a client's environment. While a practitioner can ensure their own physical space is private and their connection is secure, they have no control over the client's location. A client may be in a public place or have other people present during a session, which could lead to an accidental disclosure of information. The practitioner has an ethical duty to inform the client of these potential privacy risks and advise them to seek a private setting. This illustrates a critical point: a technically compliant platform is

not sufficient on its own. The ultimate responsibility for ethical practice rests with the practitioner's diligence and ability to navigate the human factors of a virtual setting.

The process of **informed consent** is also more challenging online. Practitioners must transparently communicate the potential risks and limitations of the technology, including the security measures in place. They must also be vigilant about maintaining professional boundaries, which can be blurred in the more casual context of a video call from a home environment.

Finally, the integration of new technologies, particularly Artificial Intelligence (AI), introduces complex ethical dilemmas. Platforms that use patient data to train AI models must first obtain patient consent for this "secondary use" of health data. The American Psychological Association (APA) and other experts have warned that generic AI chatbots, which are not designed for healthcare, can pose serious risks, including providing inaccurate information or even contributing to patient harm. The ethical principle of

non-maleficence—the duty to do no harm—requires practitioners to carefully assess any AI-assisted tools to ensure they are grounded in psychological science, developed with the oversight of behavioral health experts, and do not introduce bias or unintended harm.

Chapter 2: The Best Tools: A Comparative Analysis of Top-Tier Platforms

The current market for telepsychology technology is defined by a fundamental choice: between a comprehensive, all-in-one practice management system and a dedicated, standalone telehealth tool. For most professional practitioners, the former represents the most efficient and robust solution.

The All-in-One EHR Ecosystem

The best all-in-one platforms are designed to handle every aspect of a practice from a single, integrated interface, simplifying workflows and reducing administrative burdens. This approach moves the practitioner away from juggling separate tools for scheduling, notes, and billing. The choice between the leading platforms often comes down to a practitioner's individual priorities, with a clear trade-off between user experience and back-end functionality.

SimplePractice: The User-Centric Generalist

SimplePractice is consistently lauded for its elegant, intuitive, and user-friendly interface. It is often considered the optimal choice for solo practitioners and those focused on business growth due to its comprehensive and customizable features. The platform offers a seamless, built-in telehealth solution, eliminating the need for a separate video conferencing tool. It includes a secure client portal for managing intake forms and communication, as well as robust automation features to streamline paperwork and data entry. However, user reviews indicate occasional frustrations with connectivity issues during telehealth sessions and mixed satisfaction with the billing system and customer support.

TherapyNotes: The Clinical-Centric Specialist

TherapyNotes is positioned as a platform built by clinicians for clinicians, and its strengths lie in clinical documentation and billing. It offers specialized note templates and treatment plan formats designed specifically for behavioral health, and its billing features are consistently praised by users as seamless and efficient. The platform is also noted for the high video quality and reliability of its telehealth component, which is a critical differentiator for a professional tool. However, its interface is often described as "clunky" and "dated," with a steeper learning curve and fewer customization options compared to its competitors.

The comparison between SimplePractice and TherapyNotes highlights a significant dynamic in the market. SimplePractice is a generalist platform, excelling at user experience, client-facing tools, and business management. Conversely, TherapyNotes is a specialist, with a deep focus on documentation and billing workflows. There is no single "best" platform for every practitioner; the optimal choice is a strategic decision that depends on whether the practitioner prioritizes a clean, automated front-end or a robust, clinical-focused back-end.

Other notable all-in-one platforms include **Ensora** (formerly TheraNest) , which offers comprehensive tools and AI-assisted notes , and

Zanda , which is praised for its streamlined practice management with automated invoicing and HIPAA-compliant telehealth.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of Top-Tier EHR Platforms

Feature	SimplePractice	TherapyNotes	Ensora Health (TheraNest)	Zanda
Pricing (Solo)	$29 - $99/month	Starts at $49/month	Starts at $29/month	Varied, custom pricing
Telehealth	Integrated; seamless	Integrated; reliable	Integrated; secure	Integrated; HIPAA-compliant Zoom
Billing & Claims	Streamlined process; mixed reviews on effectiveness	Seamless & efficient; highly praised by users	Comprehensive suite; automated insurance billing	Automated & integrated invoicing
Notes & Documentation	Customizable forms & templates	Robust, specialized templates; AI-assisted	Customizable forms & AI-powered notes	Clinical notes & progress tracking
User Experience	Intuitive & user-friendly	Comprehensive but with a steeper learning curve	User-friendly interface	User-friendly calendar
Noted Limitations	Occasional connectivity issues; mixed billing	"Clunky" design; limited customization	Pricing varies by caseload	None noted in provided sources

Feature	SimplePractice	TherapyNotes	Ensora Health (TheraNest)	Zanda
	reviews			

The Standalone Specialist: Dedicated Telehealth Platforms

Some practitioners may not require a full practice management suite, perhaps because they use paper notes or a separate EHR. For these individuals, a standalone, HIPAA-compliant telehealth platform is a viable solution.

Doxy.me: The Free and Easy Choice

Doxy.me is widely praised for its simplicity and user-friendliness, often cited as the "best free HIPAA-compliant platform". It is a browser-based tool that requires no downloads or installations for either the practitioner or the client, making it exceptionally accessible. Doxy.me also offers a personalized virtual waiting room and a low-cost Pro plan at $35 per month with additional features like high-definition video and group calls. However, some users have reported that the platform can be slow at times and have noted occasional audio issues.

Zoom for Healthcare: The Familiar Workhorse

Zoom for Healthcare is a HIPAA-compliant variant of the familiar consumer-grade video conferencing tool. It provides a highly intuitive interface and robust features such as end-to-end encryption, screen sharing, and breakout rooms, which are valuable for group sessions. The primary drawbacks are a higher cost compared to basic options and a "clunky" dashboard. A practitioner using Zoom for Healthcare must be prepared to pair it with a separate EHR or practice management system, as it does not offer integrated features for notes or billing.

Table 2: Comparison of Standalone Telehealth Tools

Feature	Doxy.me	Zoom for Healthcare
Pricing	Free; Pro plan at $35/month	Higher cost, tailored for healthcare
Key Features	Browser-based, virtual waiting room, unlimited calls, BAA included	Intuitive interface, end-to-end encryption, collaboration tools
User Experience	Very easy for clients; user-friendly	Intuitive for both clients and practitioners
Noted Limitations	Limited features in free plan; occasional slowness and audio issues	Limited customization; requires pairing with other tools

Chapter 3: The Worst Tools: A Cautionary Tale of Risk and Non-Compliance

While the "best" platforms are defined by their robust feature sets and compliance, the "worst" tools are characterized by their fundamental unsuitability for the healthcare industry. Using these

platforms, regardless of their convenience or cost, is not a business risk but a professional liability that can result in legal and ethical violations with severe consequences.

The Illusion of Convenience: Why Consumer-Grade Tools are Professional Liabilities

Widely used consumer-grade platforms like Consumer Skype are unequivocally out of the picture for telepsychology. The primary reason for their unsuitability is their failure to provide a Business Associate Agreement (BAA). These platforms were never designed for healthcare, and their business models do not include signing a BAA, which is a non-negotiable legal requirement for handling PHI. A practitioner who uses such a platform is legally exposed, as they remain fully responsible for any data breach.

Furthermore, the perceived security of these tools is often an illusion. While a platform like Consumer Skype may boast encryption, this is not a substitute for compliance. Consumer platforms may collect and use user information for marketing purposes, and they have a history of documented security vulnerabilities and breaches. Using these tools is a gamble that risks compromising a client's most sensitive information.

The Peril of the "Regulatory Gray Zone": The Dangers of Unregulated AI Chatbots

Perhaps the most significant emerging danger in the telepsychology landscape is the use of generic, unregulated AI chatbots. Experts and organizations like the American Psychological Association (APA) have issued strong warnings against using these tools for therapeutic purposes.

The primary legal risk is the **lack of legal confidentiality protections**. Unlike the relationship between a licensed professional and a client, interactions with these AI chatbots are not protected by legal privilege. This means chat logs could potentially be subpoenaed in a legal proceeding, exposing highly sensitive personal information that a client would never expect to be disclosed.

The risks extend far beyond legal exposure. Experts warn that generic AI chatbots are not clinically designed and have been linked to instances of serious harm. Lawsuits have alleged that conversations with a chatbot contributed to a suicide by encouraging self-harm and advising against seeking help from others. The APA has urged the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) to intervene and create safeguards, citing the risks of inaccurate diagnoses, inappropriate treatments, and the exploitation of vulnerable individuals. Using these tools is not merely an inefficiency but a fundamental breach of the ethical duty to do no harm, transforming a legal risk into an ethical and clinical betrayal.

The table below provides a clear overview of why these non-compliant tools are professional liabilities.

Table 3: HIPAA and HITECH Violation Risks of Non-Compliant Tools

Unsuitable Tool	Specific Risk	HIPAA/HITECH Violation	Potential Penalty

Unsuitable Tool	Specific Risk	HIPAA/HITECH Violation	Potential Penalty
Consumer-Grade Platforms (e.g., Skype)	No BAA signed; data could be used for marketing; security vulnerabilities	Failure to enter into a BAA; impermissible disclosure of PHI	Up to $2,134,831 annually for willful neglect; negligence charges
Generic Email/Text	Unencrypted communication; PHI is vulnerable to unauthorized access	Failure to protect against threats to ePHI; impermissible disclosure of PHI	Monetary penalties from $100 to $50,000 per violation; license suspension
Unregulated AI Chatbots	Lack of legal confidentiality; potential for providing harmful advice	Lack of compliance with data privacy laws; potential for harm that violates ethical code	Legal liability in lawsuits; potential for license suspension or termination

Chapter 4: The Path Forward: Emerging Trends and Strategic Recommendations

The Evolving Role of AI in Telepsychology: A Dual-Edged Sword

The future of telepsychology is inextricably linked to the responsible integration of AI. While the use of generic, unregulated AI is a clear professional hazard, the strategic implementation of AI within secure, compliant platforms holds immense promise. Reputable platforms are already leveraging AI to streamline administrative tasks and enhance efficiency. For instance, some systems now offer AI-assisted documentation that recognizes clinical terminology and provides suggestions to improve note clarity and structure, freeing up the practitioner's time for clinical care.

As AI becomes more prevalent, the core challenge will be establishing a clear "social license" for its use. This means developing specific guidelines and patient-centered consent frameworks that ensure privacy, foster public trust, and allow for the responsible advancement of AI in healthcare. The future of AI in telepsychology is not in replacing the human therapist but in augmenting their administrative and diagnostic capabilities within a secure and ethically-sound framework.

Actionable Recommendations for Practitioners: A Strategic Decision Framework

Selecting the right technology for a telepsychology practice is a critical business and clinical decision. This strategic framework provides a clear path forward.

Step 1: Prioritize Compliance First. The first and most crucial step is to create a list of potential platforms and immediately filter out any that do not explicitly state they are HIPAA-compliant and willing to sign a BAA. This single action protects the practitioner from a host of legal and financial risks and separates suitable tools from professional liabilities.

Step 2: Define Practice Needs. The practitioner must determine the scope of their needs. A solo practitioner may prioritize a low-cost, user-friendly EHR, whereas a group practice may require a more robust, scalable system with advanced administrative features. The practitioner must decide whether they need an all-in-one EHR/PM solution or if a standalone telehealth tool will suffice. The trend in the market is clear: all-in-one systems are becoming the standard, as they simplify the entire practice workflow and reduce the need for disparate tools.

Step 3: Evaluate Features and User Experience. A practitioner should create a checklist based on the Four Pillars of Trust. This involves assessing the platform's video quality and reliability, evaluating the ease of use for both the practitioner and the client, and confirming that key features like billing, documentation, and client communication are seamless and functional. The decision should not be made on a features list alone but on the platform's ability to consistently perform in a real-world clinical setting.

Final Conclusions and Outlook

The technology landscape for telepsychology has evolved from a patchwork of consumer-grade solutions into a sophisticated market of purpose-built, highly integrated platforms. The fundamental distinction between the best and worst tools is defined not by features or convenience but by a vendor's commitment to protecting patient privacy through compliance with HIPAA and HITECH.

The most suitable platforms are integrated ecosystems that simplify workflows and provide a single source for managing a practice. These platforms, such as TherapyNotes and SimplePractice, represent a market that has matured to meet the complex legal and operational demands of modern healthcare. Conversely, the worst tools—consumer-grade applications and unregulated AI chatbots—are not simply inefficient; they are professional liabilities that can lead to severe legal penalties and, in the case of AI, potentially cause significant patient harm.

The future of telepsychology technology lies in a careful balance between innovation and an unwavering commitment to patient safety and privacy. As AI is responsibly integrated into compliant platforms, it holds the potential to further streamline administrative tasks and enhance clinical support. However, this advancement must be guided by clear ethical frameworks and a constant focus on the practitioner's ultimate responsibility: to provide effective, confidential, and safe care. The selection of a technology platform is a core component of this commitment.

Sources used in the report available upon request (samek@msn.com).